

## Message Text

PAGE 01 VIENNA 03762 01 OF 02 081319 Z

42

ACTION MBFR-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-12 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-09 H-02 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 AECE-00

OMB-01 RSR-01 ACDA-19 /155 W  
----- 004175

P R 081200 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8746

INFO SECDEF/ WASHDC PRIORITY

MBFR CAPITALS 404

USMISSION GENEVA

USNMR/ SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

USCINCEUR

USDOCOSOUTH

USDEL SALT TWO II

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 VIENNA 3762

GENEVA FOR DISTO

FROM US REP MBFR

EO: 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM

SUBJ: MBFR: AD HOC GROUP MEETING, MAY 7, 1973

1. SUMMARY: UK ACTING REP REPORTED ON MAY 5 MEETING BETWEEN UK REP ( THOMSON) AND SOVIET REP KHLESTOV. UK REP INDICATED HE SAW AND WOULD REPORT TO LONDON NO SIGN OF ANY GIVE IN THE SOVIET POSITION REQUIRING AN OFFICIAL REFERENCE TO THE SOVIET RIGHT TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF INVITING OTHER EUROPEAN STATES TO THE TALKS AS OBSERVERS. UK REP GAVE NO OTHER INDICATION OF HIS RECOMMENDATION TO LONDON ON UK' S ISOLATED POSITION ON OBSERVER PROBLEM. GROUP THEN REVIEWED THE CURRENT SITUATION WITH THE AIM OF CLEARING UP  
SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 03762 01 OF 02 081319 Z

ANY LOOSE ENDS. EMISSARIES WERE INSTRUCTED TO FURTHER PROBE SOVIETS ON THEIR REASONS FOR WANTING THE RIGHT TO RAISE THE WORKING GROUP ISSUE AND THEN TO ATTEMPT TO LIMIT THE STATEMENT TO ONE REQUIRING A SOLUTION OF THE ISSUE ONLY IN THE NEGOTIATIONS. IF THAT

APPROACH FAILED, THE EMISSARIES COULD TRY OUT ON THE SOVIETS ALTERNATE WORDING DEVELOPED IN THIS MEETING. IN A REVIEW OF THE WESTERN PRESS STATEMENT FOR USE AFTER INITIAL PLENARY, SEVERAL MINOR SUGGESTIONS WERE OFFERED AND THE EMISSARIES AGREED TO CONSIDER CHANGES AND PRODUCE A REVISED TEXT. THE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR THE WEEK OF APRIL 30 - MAY 6 WAS APPROVED AND THE GROUP AGREED THAT THE PRESS LINE SHOULD BE THAT A COMPROMISE ON DIFFERENCES WAS IN SIGHT, BUT THAT SOME DETAILS STILL NEEDED RESOLUTION. NORWEGIAN REP WAS ASKED TO CONSIDER REWRITE OF NORWEGIAN PROPOSED OPENING STATEMENT WHICH INCLUDED FREQUENT REFERENCES TO GENERAL TERM " ARMS CONTROL" AND A CONCLUDING PASSAGE TO WHICH SEVERAL REPS OBJECTED EXPRESSING NORWEGIAN INTEREST IN OTHER REGIONAL TALKS SIMILAR TO MBFR. END SUMMARY.

2. CHAIRMAN, NETHERLANDS DEP REP DE VOS, OPENED MEETING WITH FOLLOWING AGENDA: BILATERALS, CLEARING UP OF LOOSE ENDS FOR PLENARY, AMENDMENTS TO WESTERN POST- PLENARY PRESS STATEMENT, REVIEW OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR WEEK OF APRIL 30 - MAY 6, AND REVIEW OF OPENING STATEMENTS.

3. UK ACTING REP ( JOHNSON) REPORTED THAT UK REP ( THOMSON) HAD HELD 2 1/2 BILATERAL WITH SOVIET REP KHLESTOV ON MAY 5. UK REP HAD BEGUN BILATERAL BY ASKING SOVIET THREE QUESTIONS: (1) WHAT IS THE SOVIET ATTITUDE TOWARD MBFR; (2) WHAT WAS THE SOVIET CONCEPT OF THE CONNECTION BETWEEN MBFR/ CSCE; AND (3) WHY DID THE SOVIETS INSIST ON INCLUDING IN THE PROCEDURES/ PARTICIPATION PACKAGE THEIR REFERENCE TO THE RIGHT TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF INVITING OBSERVERS? SOVIET REP ANSWERED THAT SOVIETS WERE SERIOUS ABOUT MBFR, WANTED CONCRETE RESULTS, AND EXPECTED THE ACTUAL NEGOTIATIONS TO BEGIN THIS FALL. ON LINKAGE BETWEEN CSCE/ MBFR, SOVIET REP REPUDIATED ANY SUCH LINKAGE, SAID THAT KVITSINSKIY HAD BEEN MISUNDERSTOOD IN THIS REGARD, AND STATED THAT HIS COUNTRY WAS READY TO PROCEED TO DISCUSSION OF DATE, PLACE, AGENDA, ETC. WITH NO RELATION TO CSCE PROGRESS. WHEN ASKED SPECIFICALLY IF THE SOVIETS WOULD BE WILLING TO NAME A DATE FOR MBFR BEFORE ONE WAS NAMED FOR CSCE, KHLESTOV ANSWERED, " YES." THE THIRD QUESTION WAS THE FOCUS OF THE MEETING AND THE SOVIET AND UK REPS BOTH PRESENTED THEIR WELL KNOWN POSITION. SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 03762 01 OF 02 081319 Z

TIONS. SOVIET REP INDICATING THAT SINCE THE PROCEDURES/ PARTICIPATION PAPER CLEARLY LISTED ONLY 19 PARTICIPANTS, IT WAS NECESSARY TO ADD TO THAT PACKAGE A STATEMENT ON THE SOVIET INTEREST IN INVITING OTHERS. SOVIET REP ADDED THAT THE EAST WAS AS INTERESTED IN CARRY- OVER OF THE PROCEDURES PAPER AS THE WEST. UK REP TOLD SOVIET REP THAT UK WAS CONCERNED ABOUT SOVIET CONCEPT THAT ANYTHING NOT IN THE PROCEDURES/ PARTICIPATION PACKAGE COULD BE PROCEDURALLY RULED OUT OF ORDER AND INDICATED A UK DECISION ON THE LATEST ISSUE MIGHT NOT BE RAPIDLY FORTHCOMING. THIS DID NOT APPEAR, ACCORDING TO UK REP, TO BOTHER THE SOVIET REP.

4. US REP ASKED UK ACTING REP IF THE UK HAD ANY MORE REASON NOW TO BELIEVE THE SOVIETS HAD SOME GIVE ON THE OBSERVER ISSUE. UK

ACTING REP SAID NO, AND THAT SUCH A REPORT WAS BEING CONVEYED IN LONDON. HE ADDED THAT THE UK REP HAD GIVEN NO INDICATION OF WHAT HE WOULD BE RECOMMENDING TO LONDON ON THE UK'S ISOLATION ON THE ISSUE OF THE SOVIET RIGHT TO RAISE THE QUESTION OF OBSERVERS.

5. US REP REPORTED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED A PHONE CALL FROM SOVIET REP KHLESTOV IN WHICH KHLESTOV INDICATED HE THOUGHT HE HAD CONVINCED UK REP OF THE FIRMNESS AND VALIDITY OF THE SOVIET POSITION ON THE OBSERVER ISSUE. SOVIET REP WENT ON TO VOLUNTEER TO SPEAK TO THE ENTIRE AD HOC GROUP IN AN EFFORT TO CONVINCE OTHERS OF THE EQUITY OF THE SOVIET PROPOSAL ON CARRY-OVER/OBSERVERS. US REP REPLIED IT MIGHT BE DESIRABLE TO CONSIDER SUCH A VISIT AT A LATER DATE.

6. CHAIRMAN NEXT REFERRED GROUP TO SEVERAL LOOSE ENDS REMAINING TO BE RESOLVED AS A RESULT OF THE MAY 4 TRILATERAL AND OTHER PREPARATIONS FOR THE INITIAL PLENARY.

A. JOINT PLENARY ANNOUNCEMENT: GROUP AGREED TO DROP THE WORK "INITIAL" (SOVIETS HAD OBJECTED) FROM THE JOINT ANNOUNCEMENT BUT TO USE THE WORD IN PURELY WESTERN REFERENCES TO THE PLENARY. GROUP FURTHER AGREED TO ADDITION OF WORDS "AGREED TO HOLD" IF SOVIETS DESIRE THEM.

B. ORAL STATEMENT ON WORKING GROUPS: GROUP HAD DIFFICULTY IN REACHING AGREEMENT ON HOW TO SOLVE THE BRACKETED PHRASE IN THIS ORAL STATEMENT. BELGIAN/FRG/UK/ITALIAN REPS ARGUED THAT THE GROUP HAD PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO LIMIT A DECISION ON THE WORKING GROUP ISSUE TO THE NEGOTIATIONS BUT THAT THE CURRENT PHRASING WOULD ALLOW THE SOVIETS TO USE THE ISSUE IN THE CURRENT CONSULTATION.

PAGE 04 VIENNA 03762 01 OF 02 081319 Z

TIONS AS A DELAYING TACTIC. US REP SUGGESTED SEVERAL WAYS TO REVISE THE PHRASE AND MAKE IT MORE ACCEPTABLE. HOWEVER, US REP ARGUED, IT WOULD BE ILLOGICAL TO CATEGORICALLY EXCLUDE SETTLING THE ISSUE IN THE CURRENT CONSULTATIONS AND PROBABLY NOT ACCEPTABLE TO THE SOVIETS. DISCUSSION RANGED INTO THE WHY OF THE SOVIET DESIRE FOR THIS RIGHT. GREEK REP SAID HE BELIEVED IT WAS SOVIET DESIRE TO EXCLUDE FLANKS FROM PART OF THE PROCEEDINGS DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS PROPER. (COMMENT: THIS IS OUR IMPRESSION OF SOVIET MOTIVE BUT WE AVOIDED COMMENT SO AS NOT TO MOBILIZE ITALIAN AND TURKISH DELEGATES WHO WOULD PROBABLY OPPOSE A CONCEPT OF THIS NATURE.) BELGIAN REP SUGGESTED SOVIETS MIGHT WISH TO ESTABLISH WORKING GROUP ON OBSERVERS IN ORDER TO EXPLOIT OBSERVER ISSUE IN THIS WAY. IT WAS AGREED THAT NO ONE REALLY KNEW THE SOVIET GOAL. GROUP CONSENSUS DEVELOPED THAT EMISSARIES SHOULD FIRST PROBE SOVIETS AND ATTEMPT TO LEARN THEIR PLAN FOR USING THIS RIGHT AND THEN RECONSIDER. HOWEVER, GROUP APPROVED A THREE-PHASE APPROACH OF FIRST A PROBE,

SECRET  
NMAFVVZCZADP000

PAGE 01 VIENNA 03762 02 OF 02 081342 Z

46

ACTION MBFR-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-12 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-09 H-02 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-12

NEA-10 GAC-01 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 OIC-04 AEC-11 AECE-00

OMB-01 ACDA-19 RSR-01 /155 W  
----- 004336

P R 081200 Z MAY 73

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 8747

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

MBFR CAPITALS 405

USMISSION GENEVA

USNMR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

USCINCEUR

USDOCOSOUTH

USDEL SALT TWO II

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 VIENNA 3762

GENEVA FOR DISTO

FROM US REP MBFR

SECOND A FURTHER ATTEMPT TO SEEL THE IDEA THAT A FINAL DECISION SHOULD BE REACHED ONLY IN THE NEGOTIATIONS AND FINALLY, IF THAT FAILED, TO REVISED WORDING ALONG THE LINES SUGGESTED BY US REP. NEW STATEMENT IN LAST STEP WOULD BE THAT WEST WOULD " NOT OBJECT ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS IF, DURING THE PRESENT CCONSULTATIONS, YOU WISH TO RAISE THE QUESTION OF ESTABLISHING WORKING GROUPS FOR THE NEGOTIATIONS, IT BEING UNDERSTOOD THAT THIS QUESTION WOULD BE DECIDED BY CONSENSUS PROVIDED THAT, IF RAPID AGREEMENT IS NOT POSSIBLE, THE ISSUE WILL BE CARRIED OVER TO THE NEGOTIATIONS FOR DECISION THERE." THE SOVIETS SHOULD REPLY THAT THEY AGREE. THE PROBLEM FACED BY THE AD HOC GROUP WAS TO DEVELOP A FORM OF WORDS WHICH WOULD LIMIT SOVIET CAPACITY  
SECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 03762 02 OF 02 081342 Z

TO USE THIS ISSUE TO STALL ON A COMMUNIQUE.

C. POST PLENARY COMMUNIQUE: US REP NOTED THAT BRACKETED LANGUAGE ON CARRY- OVER ( PARA 45 IV REFTTEL) WAS OBJECTED TO BY SOVIETS WHEN ALLIES WERE PRESENTING THIS AS ALTERNATIVE TO INCLUSION OF " CARRY- OVER" IN PLENARY RECORD AND THAT THEY MIGHT NOT NOW OBJECT SO STRONGLY. GREEK REP SUGGESTED THAT SINCE " CARRY- OVER" WOULD NOT BE IN THE PLENARY RECORD, THE WEST COULD EASILY AFFORD TO DROP IT FROM THIS JOINT COMMUNIQUE.

IT WAS AGREED THAT EMISSARIES SHOULD TRY TO KEEP THE PHRASE IN BUT COULD DROP IT IF THE SOVIETS CONTINUED TO OBJECT.

D. TRANSLATION WORKING GROUPS: CHAIRMAN NOTED THAT SOVIETS DESIRED AN ENGLISH TEXT WORKING GROUP AS WELL AS GROUPS FOR THE OTHER THREE LANGUAGES. US REP ADDED THAT SOVIET INTEREST IN THIS WAS, HE BELIEVED, PURELY TECHNICAL AS THEY WANTED TO INSURE THE EAST'S ENGLISH VERSION, WHICH WOULD BE THE BASIS FOR ALL OTHERS, AGREED WITH THE WEST'S VERSION. US REP SUGGESTED US/ UK MEMBERS FOR THE ENGLISH TEXT WORKING GROUP AND GROUP CONCURRED. US REP COMMENTED THE SOVIETS WOULD AGREE THAT ENGLISH TEXT SHOULD BE AGREED ON FIRST.

E. SPEAKERS AT FIRST PLENARY; US REP SUGGESTED THAT WEST LIMIT THE NUMBER OF SPEAKERS TO THE QUADRILATERAL MEMBERS, THUS REINFORCING APPEARANCE THAT IT IS ALL ONE PACKAGE WORKED OUT IN CONCERT BY THE FOUR. THUS BOTH WESTERN UNILATERAL STATEMENTS COULD BE READ BY SAME REP IF THE OTHER WESTERN REP WAS DESIGNATED TO READ THE PROCEDURES/ PARTICIPATION PAPER. GROUP AGREED.

F. TEXT REPRODUCTION: US REP OFFERED US FACILITIES FOR REPRODUCTION OF PLENARY RECORD IN ALL 4 LANGUAGES. GROUP AGREED, GROUP FURTHER AGREED THAT ADVANCE COPIES OF ALL STATEMENTS TO BE READ WOULD BE FURNISHED THE TRANSLATORS WHO WOULD READ THEM RATHER THAN ATTEMPT OWN FREE TRANSLATIONS.

G. PLENARY DATE: UK ACTING REP NOTED THAT A LATE AFTERNOON PLENARY COULD SUFFER FROM PRESS COVERAGE PROBLEMS AND RECOMMENDED GROUP AGREE TO HOLD INITIAL PLENARY BEFORE NOON. GROUP AGREED.

7. CHAIRMAN THEN TURNED TO DISCUSSION OF TEXT OF WESTERN PRESS STATEMENT TO BE USED AFTER FIRST PLENARY ( VIENNA 3639).  
SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 03762 02 OF 02 081342 Z

UK AND CANADIAN REPS HAD CIRCULATED SUGGESTED CHANGES TO PARAS 5, 6, 7, AND 10. DANISH REP ( POULSEN) STATED HE FELT THE STATEMENT TENDED TO OVEREMPHASIZE THE HUNGARIAN ISSUE AND THEREFORE MIGHT APPEAR OVERLY DEFENSIVE. HE SUGGESTED MORE MENTION OF CONCESSIONS ON OTHER SUBJECTS THE WEST HAD WON AS NOTED IN EMISSARIES REPORT TO NAC. US REP NOTED THAT EMISSARIES HAD DRAWN EXTENSIVELY ON THAT REPORT IN THE DRAFTING OF THE STATEMENT BUT THAT MANY OF THE CONCESSIONS LISTED THERE, WHILE APPROPRIATE TO THE NAC, WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE IN PUBLIC STATEMENTS. DANISH REP THEN QUESTIONED IDEA OF GIVING THE STATEMENT TO THE REPORTERS.

8. UK ACTING REP INTERJECTED THAT IT WAS THE OPINION OF HIS EMBASSY PRESS REPRESENTATIVE THAT IT WAS DESIRABLE TO GIVE THE STATEMENT TO THE PRESS. HE SUGGESTED THAT IT WAS A LONG STATEMENT ON A DIFFICULT AND COMPLEX ISSUE AND HANDING OVER THE STATEMENT WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO ACCURACY AND THAT THE PRESS WOULD ASK FOR COPIES AND IF DENIED, THEY WOULD BE ANNOYED. HE FELT IT IMPORTANT TO HAVE THE PRESS ON OUR SIDE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE.

GROUP AGAIN AGREED ON GIVING STATEMENT AND TEXT OF PLENARY RECORD TO PRESS.

9. ITALIAN DEP REP ( TALIANI) COMMENTED ON PARA 7 OF THE STATEMENT AND SUGGESTED THAT IN CASE OF STATEMENTS ABOUT ITALY/ HUNAGRY LINKAGE, THE SPOKESMAN SHOULD SIMPLY REFER THEM TO PARA 7 OF THE STATEMENT AND NOT ELABORATE FURTHER ON THE ISSUE. US REP AGREED AND ADDED THAT GROUP SHOULD STICK WITH THE ORIGINAL PARA 7 ACCEPTABLE TO ITALY. CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED EMISSARIES WOULD REVISE PARAS 5 AND 6 TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION CANADIAN/ UK SUGGESTIONS AND GROUP DISCUSSIONS.

10 GROUP NEXT DISCUSSED REVIED CHAIRMAN' S REPORT OF WEEK OF 30 APRIL - 6 MAY ( SEPTTEL).

11. CHAIRMAN TURNED TO SUBJECT OF OPENING STATEMENTS AND REMINDED UK, ITALIAN, GREEK AND TURKISH REPS THAT THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS YET NEEDED TO BE REVIEWED BY THE GROUP PRIOR TO THE FIRST PLENARY. GREEK REP REPLIED THAT HIS OPENING SPEECH DID NOT APPEAR TO NEED REVISION AND ASKED THE GROUP TO REVIEW HIS INITIAL SPEECH FOR CONCURRENCE. GROUP THEN BEGAN TO REVIEW HIS INITIAL SPEECH FOR CONCURRENCE. GROUP THEN BEGAN REVIEW OF A NORWEGIAN DRAFT OPENING STATEMENT. DISCUSSION CENTERED ON A FINAL PARASECRET

PAGE 04 VIENNA 03762 02 OF 02 081342 Z

GRAPH IN WHICH A REFERENCE WAS MADE TOWARD NORWEGIAN INTEREST IN " ANOTHER SET OF TALKS... ON MEANSURES OF THIS KIND ALSO IN OTHER AREAS OF EUROPE, SUCH AS OUR OWN..." INSPITE OF NORWEGIAN REP' S INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS THAT THIS PHRASE WAS NOT DESIGNED TO PUSH FOR MBFR- TYPE CONFERENCES, A CLEAR MAJORITY OF THE GROUP OPPOSED THE STATEMENT AS WELL AS A NORWEGAIN SUGGESTED ALTERATION. ITALIAN, GREEK, TURKISH AND US REPS NOTED THE SENTENCE WOULD CONTRIBUTE STRONGLY TOWARD THE ROMANIAN CONCEPT OF OTHER REGIONAL CONFERENCES AND/ OR BROADENING OF THE AREA OF THIS CONFERENCE. CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED NORWEGIAN REP REWRITE THE PARAGRAPH IN AN EFFORT TO REFLECT THE OBVIOUS DISTASTE IT CURRENTLY GAVE THE OTHERS. FRG REP ALSO SUGGESTED HE NORWEGIAN REP DELETE THE SEVERAL REFERENCES TO THE TERM " ARMS CONTROL" AS SEVERAL OTHERS HAD DONE IN THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS. NORWEGIAN REP WILL SUBMIT A REVISED TEXT.

11. FRG REP RASIED ISSUE OF HOW TO DEAL WITH PRESS, NOTING THAT THE MANY STORIES OUT OF BRUSSELS AND OTHER SOURCES ON A COMPROMISE AGREEMENT WERE MAKING POSITION OF REPS IN VIENNA DIFFICULT. US REP SUGGESTED GROUP USE THE LINE THAT A COMPROMISE APPEARED IN SIGHT BUT THAT A FEW IMPORTANT ISSUES REMAINED TO BE SOLVED. GROUP AGREED. CHAIRMAN SCHEDULED THE NEXT MEETING FOR 10:30 A. M. MAY 8.  
HUMES

SECRET  
NMAFVVZCZ

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 10 MAY 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 08 MAY 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973VIENNA03762  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** VIENNA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730560/abqcellz.tel  
**Line Count:** 323  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION MBF  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 07 SEP 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <07-Sep-2001 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <22 FEB 2002 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 980105  
**Subject:** MBFR: AD HOC GROUP MEETING, MAY 7, 1973  
**TAGS:** PARM  
**To:** STATE INFO SECDEF C  
MBFR CAPITALS  
GENEVA  
USNMR SHAPE E  
USLOSACLANT  
USCINCEUR  
USDOCOSOUTH



SALT TWO II

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005